arXiv:1609.08544v1 [math.AC] 27 Sep 2016

Difference Dimension Quasi-polynomials

Alexander Levin
The Catholic University of America
Washington, D. C. 20064
levin@cua.edu
http://faculty.cua.edu/levin

Abstract

We consider Hilbert-type functions associated with difference (not necessarily inversive) field extensions and systems of algebraic difference equations in the case when the translations are assigned some integer weights. We will show that such functions are quasi-polynomials, which can be represented as alternative sums of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials associated with rational conic polytopes. In particular, we obtain generalizations of main theorems on difference dimension polynomials and their invariants to the case of weighted basic difference operators.

Keywords: Difference ring; difference ideal; Ehrhart quasi-polynomial; difference transcendence degree

1 Introduction

Difference dimension polynomials, first introduced in [9], play the same role in the study of difference algebraic structures and systems of algebraic difference equations as is played by Hilbert polynomials in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Most of the known results on such polynomials (including algorithms for their computation) can be found in [8] and [10]. Furthermore, as it is shown in [10, Chapter 7], difference dimension polynomials have an important analytic interpretation: if a system of partial algebraic difference equations represents a system of equations in finite differences, then its difference dimension polynomial expresses the A. Einstein's strength of the system. This fact determines the importance of the study of difference dimension polynomials for the qualitative theory of algebraic difference equations.

Another important application of difference dimension polynomials is based on the fact that such a polynomial can be naturally assigned to any prime reflexive difference ideal of a finitely generated difference algebra. The relationship between prime reflexive difference ideals and their dimension polynomials has allowed one to obtain new interesting results on the Krull-type dimension of difference algebras and modules, as well as on local difference algebras (see, for example, [12], [10, Section 4.6] and [11]).

In this paper, we prove the existence and determine invariants of a dimension quasi-polynomial associated with a difference field extension with weighted basic translations. We also express a difference dimension quasi-polynomial as an alternative sum of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials associated with rational conic polytopes and show that, given a system of algebraic difference equations with weighted translations, one can assign to it a quasi-polynomial that can be

viewed as an algebraic version of the Einstein's strength of the system. Note that systems of difference equations of these kind arise, in particular, from finite difference approximations of systems of PDEs with weighted derivatives, see, for example, [14] and [15]. One should also mention that the existence of Ehrhart-type dimension quasi-polynomials associated with weighted filtrations of differential and inversive difference modules was established by C. Dönch in his dissertation [5]. C. Dönch also proved the existence of dimension quasi-polynomials associated with finitely generated differential field extensions using the technique of weight Gröbner bases in the associated modules of Kähler differentials. This approach, however, cannot be applied in the case of non-inversive difference fields; the main tool used in our paper is the method of characteristic sets modified to the case of difference polynomials over a difference field with weighted translations.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{R}$ denote the sets of all non-negative integers, integers, rational numbers, and real numbers, respectively. If m is a positive integer, then by the product order on $\mathbb{N}^m$ we mean a partial order $<_P$ such that $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) <_P (a'_1, \ldots, a'_m)$ if and only if $a_i <_P a'_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

By a *difference ring* we mean a commutative ring R together with a finite set $\sigma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ of mutually commuting endomorphisms of R. The set σ is called a *basic set* of R and the endomorphisms α_i are called *translations*. We also say that R is a σ-ring. In what follows we assume that the translations are injective (this assumption is standard in most works on difference algebra). Furthermore, we will consider the free commutative semigroup of all power products $\tau = \alpha_1^{k_1} \ldots \alpha_m^{k_m}$ $(k_1, \ldots, k_m \in \mathbb{N})$ denoted by T (or T_σ). If $\theta, \tau \in T$ and there is $\tau' \in T$ such that $\tau = \theta\tau'$, we say that θ *divides* τ and write $\theta|\tau$. Otherwise, we write $\theta \nmid \tau$.

If a difference (σ-) ring is a field, it is called a difference (or σ-) field.

A subring (ideal) R_0 of a σ-ring R is said to be a difference (or σ-) subring of R (respectively, difference (or σ-) ideal of R) if R_0 is closed with respect to the action of any translation $\alpha_i \in \sigma$. A σ-ideal I of a σ-ring R is called *reflexive* if the inclusion $\alpha_i(a) \in I$ $(a \in R, \alpha_i \in \sigma)$ implies the inclusion $a \in I$. In this case, the factor ring R/I has a natural structure of a difference ring with the same basic set σ where $\alpha(a+I) = \alpha(a)+I$ for any coset $a+I \in R/I$ and $\alpha \in \sigma$. If a difference ideal is prime, it is referred to as a *prime difference ideal*.

If R is a σ-ring and $S \subseteq R$, then the intersection I of all σ-ideals of R containing the set S is the smallest σ-ideal of R containing S; it is denoted by $[S]$. Clearly, $[S]$ is generated, as an ideal, by the set $\{\tau(a) \,|\, a \in S, \tau \in T\}$). If the set S is finite, $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$, we say that the σ-ideal I is finitely generated (we write this as $I = [a_1, \ldots, a_r]$) and call $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ difference (or σ-) generators of I. If R_0 is a σ-subring of R and $S \subseteq R$, then the smallest σ-subring of R containing R_0 and S is denoted by $R_0\langle S\rangle$. As a ring, it is generated over R_0 by the set $\{\tau s \,|\, \tau \in T, s \in S\}$. (Here and below we frequently writeτs for $\tau(s)$.)

A ring homomorphism of σ-rings $\phi : R \longrightarrow S$ is called a *difference* (or σ-) *homomorphism* if $\phi(\alpha a) = \alpha\phi(a)$ for any $\alpha \in \sigma$, $a \in R$. It is easy to see that the kernel of such a mapping is a reflexive difference ideal of R.

If L is a difference (σ-) field and its subfield K is also a σ-subring of L, then K is said to be a difference (or σ-) subfield of L; L, in turn, is called a difference (or σ-) field extension or a σ-overfield of K. We also say that we have a σ-field extension L/K.

If K is a σ-subfield of a σ-field L and $S \subseteq L$, then the smallest σ-subfield of L containing K and S is denoted by $K\langle S\rangle$. If the set S is finite, $S = \{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$, then $K\langle S\rangle$ is written as $K\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\rangle$ and is said to be a finitely generated difference (or σ-) extension of K with the set of σ-generators $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$. It is easy to see that the field $K\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\rangle$ coincides with the field $K_0(\{\tau\eta_i \,|\, \tau \in T,\, 1 \leq i \leq n\})$.

If R is a difference (σ-) ring and $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is a finite set of symbols, then one can consider the countable set of symbols $TY = \{\tau y_j | \tau \in T, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and the polynomial ring $R[TY]$ in the set of indeterminates TY over R. This polynomial ring is naturally viewed as a σ-ring where the action of the translations on R is extended to $R[TY]$ by setting $\alpha(\tau y_j) = (\alpha\tau)y_j$ for any $\alpha \in \sigma$, $\tau \in T$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. The constructed difference ring is denoted by $R\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$; it is called the *ring of difference* (or σ-) *polynomials* in the set of difference (σ-) indeterminates $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ over R. Elements of $R\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ are called difference (or σ-) polynomials. If R is a σ-subring of a σ-ring S, $A \in R\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n) \in S^n$, then $A(\eta)$ denotes the result of the replacement of every entry τy_i in A by $\tau\eta_i$ ($\tau \in T$, $1 \leq i \leq n$).

Let $U = \left\{u^{(\lambda)} | \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ be a family of elements in some σ-overring of a difference (σ-) ring R. We say that the family U is *transformally* (or *σ-algebraically) dependent* over R, if the family

$$U^\sigma = \left\{\tau u^{(\lambda)} \,|\, \tau \in T,\, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$$

is algebraically dependent over R (that is, there exist elements $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(k)} \in U^\sigma$ and a nonzero polynomial f in k variables with coefficients from R such that $f\big(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(k)}\big) = 0$). Otherwise, the family U is said to be *transformally* (or *σ-algebraically) independent* over R.

If K is a difference (σ-) field and L a σ-field extension of K, then a set $B \subseteq L$ is said to be a *difference* (or σ-) *transcendence basis* of L over K if B is σ-algebraically independent over K and every element $a \in L$ is σ-algebraic over $K\langle B\rangle$ (that is, the set $\{\tau a \,|\, \tau \in T\}$ is algebraically dependent over K) . If L is a finitely generated σ-field extension of K, then all σ-transcendence bases of L over K are finite and have the same number of elements (see [10, Section 4.1]). This number is called the *difference* (or σ-) *transcendence degree* of L over K (or the σ-transcendence degree of the extension L/K); it is denoted by $\sigma\text{-tr.}\deg_K L$.

3 Dimension quasi-polynomials of subsets of $\mathbb{N}^m$

A function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is called a (univariate) *quasi-polynomial* of period q if there exist q polynomials $g_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ $(0 \leq i \leq q-1)$ such that $f(n) = g_i(n)$ whenever $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \equiv q \,(mod\, n)$.

An equivalent way of introducing quasi-polynomials is as follows.

A *rational periodic number* $U(n)$ is a function $U : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ with the property that there exists (a period) $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$U(n) = U(n') \text{ whenever } n \equiv n' \,(mod\, q).$$

A rational periodic number can be represented by a list of q its possible values enclosed in square brackets:

$$U(n) = [a_0, \ldots, a_q]_n.$$

For example, $U(n) = \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1\right]_n$ is a periodic number with period 3 such that $U(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ if $n \equiv 0 \,(mod\, 3)$, $U(n) = \frac{3}{4}$ if $n \equiv 1 \,(mod\, 3)$, and $U(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv 2 \,(mod\, 3)$.

With the above notation, a (univariate) *quasi-polynomial of degree* d is a function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$f(n) = c_d(n)n^d + \cdots + c_1(n)n + c_0(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

where $c_i(n)$'s are rational periodic numbers and $c_d(n) \neq 0$.

One of the main applications of the theory of quasi-polynomials is its application to the problem of counting integer points in polytopes.

Recall that a *rational polytope* in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is the convex hall of finitely many points (vertices) in $\mathbb{Q}^d$. Equivalently, a rational polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the set of solutions of a finite system of linear inequalities

$$A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b},$$

where A is an $m \times d$-matrix with integer entries (m is a positive integer) and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, provided that the solution set is bounded. If all vertices of a rational polytope have integer coordinates, it is called *lattice*.

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope. (We assume that P has dimension d, that is, P is not contained in a proper affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$.) Then a polytope

$$rP = \{r\mathbf{x} \,|\, \mathbf{x} \in P\}$$

($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$) is called the rth *dilate* of P. Clearly, if $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are all vertices of P, then rP is the convex hall of $r\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, r\mathbf{v}_k$.

Given a rational polytope P, let, $L(P, r)$ denote the number of integer points (that is, points with integer coordinates) in rP (in other words, $L(P, r) = \text{Card}(rP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$. The following result is due to E. Ehrhart, see [6].

Theorem 1. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope. Then*

(i) *$L(P, r)$ is a degree d quasi-polynomial of r.*

(ii) *The coefficient of the leading term of this quasi-polynomial is equal to the Euclidean volume of P.*

(iii) *The minimum period of $L(P, r)$ is a divisor of the number $\mathcal{D}(P) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \,|\, nP$ is a lattice polytope$\}$.*

(iv) If P is a lattice polynomial, then $L(P, r)$ is a polynomial of n with rational coefficients.

The main tools for computation of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials are Alexander Barvinok's polynomial time algorithm and its modifications, see [1], [2] and [3]. In some cases, however, the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial can be found directly from the Ehrhart's theorem by evaluating the periodic numbers, which are coefficients of the quasi-polynomial.

Example 1. Consider a polytope

$$P = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, x_1 \geq 0,\, x_2 \geq 0,\, x_1 + x_2 \leq 3,\, 2x_1 \leq 5\}.$$

Then

$$rP = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, x_1 \geq 0,\, x_2 \geq 0,\, x_1 + x_2 \leq 3r,\, 2x_1 \leq 5r\}$$

is a polytope with vertices $(0, 0)$, $\left(\frac{5r}{2}, 0\right)$, $(0, 3r)$, and $\left(\frac{5r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\right)$. By the Ehrhart Theorem,

$$L(P, r) = \alpha r^2 + [\beta_1, \beta_2]_r r + [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]_r.$$

The direct counting gives $L(P, 0) = 1$, $L(P, 1) = 9$, $L(P, 2) = 27$, $L(P, 3) = 52$, and $L(P, 4) = 88$. The following figure shows integer points in rP for $r = 0, 1, 2$.



Substituting $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ into the expression

$$L(P, r) = \alpha r^2 + [\beta_1, \beta_2]_r r + [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]_r$$

one obtains a system of linear equations

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = 1, \\ \alpha + \beta_2 + \gamma_2 = 9, \\ 4\alpha + 2\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = 27, \\ 9\alpha + 3\beta_2 + \gamma_2 = 52, \\ 16\alpha + 4\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = 88. \end{cases}$$

That gives $\alpha = \dfrac{38}{5}$, $\beta_1 = \dfrac{17}{4}$, $\beta_2 = 4$, $\gamma_1 = 1$, and $\gamma_2 = \dfrac{5}{8}$. Thus,

$$L(P, r) = \frac{38}{5} r^2 + \left[\frac{17}{4}, 4\right]_r r + \left[1, \frac{5}{8}\right]_r .$$

Let $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ be fixed positive integers ($m > 0$). Then for any m-tuple $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, the number

$$\operatorname{ord}_w a = w_1 a_1 + \cdots + w_m a_m$$

is called the *order of a with respect to the weights $w_1, \ldots, w_m$*. If the weights are fixed, $\operatorname{ord}_w a$ is simply called the *order of a*.

In what follows, $\lambda_w^{(m)}(t)$ denotes the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial that describes the number of integer points in the *conic polytope* defined as $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m w_i x_i \leq t,\, x_j \geq 0\, (1 \leq j \leq m)\}$. It follows from the Ehrhart's Theorem that $\lambda_w^{(m)}(t)$ is a quasi-polynomial of degree m whose leading coefficient is $\dfrac{1}{m! w_1 \ldots w_m}$. A polynomial time algorithm for computing $\lambda_w^{(m)}(t)$ can be found, for example, in [3].

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$A^{(w)}(r) = \{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in A \mid \operatorname{ord}_w a \leq r\}.$$

Furthermore, if $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, then V_A will denote the set of all m-tuples $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ that are not greater than or equal to any m-tuple from A with respect to $\leq_P$. (Recall that $\leq_P$ denotes the product order on $\mathbb{N}^m$.)

In other words, an element $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ belongs to V_A if and only if for any element $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in A$ there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $a_i > v_i$.

The following theorem generalizes E. Kolchin's result on dimension polynomials of subsets of $\mathbb{N}^m$ (see [7, Chapter 0, Lemma 16]

Theorem 2. *With the above conventions, for any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, there exists a quasi-polynomial $\chi_A^{(w)}(t)$ in one variable t such that*

(i) $\chi_A^{(w)}(r) = \text{Card } V_A^{(w)}(r)$ *for all sufficiently large* $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $\deg \chi_A^{(w)}(t) \leq m$.

(iii) $\deg \chi_A^{(w)}(t) = m$ *if and only if* $A = \emptyset$. *In this case* $\chi_A^{(w)}(t) = \lambda_w^{(m)}(t)$.

(iv) $\chi_A^{(w)}(t) = 0$ *if and only if* $(0, \ldots, 0) \in A$.

PROOF. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ and $V_A = \{v \in \mathbb{N}^m \,|\, a \not\leq_P v \text{ for any } a \in A\}$. Clearly, if one replaces A with the finite set of all minimal with respect to $\leq_P$ points of A, this replacement does not change V_A. Therefore, we can assume that A is finite. Let $A = \{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(d)}\}$ where $a^{(i)} = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{im})$.

We proceed by induction on m (for $m = 1$ the statement is obviously true) and $|A| = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}$.

For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, let

$$N_B(s) = \text{Card } V_B^{(w)}(s) = \text{Card}\{v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in V_B \mid \text{ord}_w\, v \leq s\}.$$

If $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in V_A^{(w)}(s)$, then either
(a) $v_m = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i v_i \leq s$ or
(b) $v_m = v'_m + 1$ with $v'_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} w_i v_i + w_m v'_m \leq s - w_m$.
Let

$$A_0 = \{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m-1} \,|\, (a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}, 0) \in A\} \text{ and}$$

$A_1 = \{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a'_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \,|\, (a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a'_m + 1) \in A$ or $a'_m = 0$ and $(a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}, 0) \in A\}$.

It is easy to see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between elements of $V_A^{(w)}(s)$ satisfying condition (a) and elements of the set $V_{A_0}^{(w)}(s) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{m-1}$ ($(v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}, 0) \longleftrightarrow (v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1})$). Also, there is a one-to-one correspondence between elements of $V_A^{(w)}(s)$ satisfying condition (b) and elements of the set $V_{A_1}^{(w)}(s - w_m)$ ($(v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v'_m + 1) \longleftrightarrow (v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v'_m)$). It follows that

$$N_A(s) = N_{A_0}(s) + N_{A_1}(s - w_m).$$

Since $|A_1| < |A|$ and $A_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{m-1}$, $N_{A_0}(s)$ and $N_{A_1}(s - w_m)$ are expressed by quasi-polynomials of degree at most m. Therefore, $N_A(s)$ is expressed by such a quasi-polynomial as well.

If $A = \emptyset$, then $V_A = \mathbb{N}^m$ and $\omega_A(t) = \lambda_w^{(m)}(t)$. In order to complete the proof of part (iii) of the theorem, we need to show that if $A \neq \emptyset$, $A = \{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(d)}\}$ with $a^{(i)} = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{im})$, then $\deg \chi_A^{(w)} < m$.

For every $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $e_j = \min\{a_{ij} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ and let $e = (e_1, \ldots, e_m)$. Then $V_A \subseteq V_{\{e\}}$, hence $\chi_A^{(w)}(r) \leq \chi_{\{e\}}^{(w)}(r)$ for all sufficiently large

$r \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, it remains to notice that $\deg \chi^{(w)}_{\{e\}} < m$. Indeed, for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V_{\{e\}}(r) = V_{\emptyset}(r) \setminus \{b \in \mathbb{N}^m \,|\, e \leq_P b \text{ and } \operatorname{ord}_w b \leq r\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\chi^{(w)}_{\{e\}}(t) = \lambda^{(m)}_w(t) - \lambda^{(m)}_w(t - \operatorname{ord}_w e).$$

Since both quasi-polynomials $\lambda^{(m)}_w(t)$ and $\lambda^{(m)}_w(t - \operatorname{ord}_w e)$ are of degree m and have the same leading coefficient $\dfrac{1}{m! w_1 \dots w_m}$, $\deg \chi^{(w)}_{\{e\}} < m$.

The last part of the theorem follows from the observation that $(0, \dots, 0) \in A$ if and only if $V_A = \emptyset$, which is equivalent to the equality $\chi^{(w)}_A(t) = 0$. $\square$

Definition 1. *The quasi-polynomial $\chi^{(w)}_A(t)$ whose existence is established by Theorem 2 is called the dimension quasi-polynomial of the set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ associated with the weight vector $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$.*

As we have mentioned, the dimension quasi-polynomial of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ coincides with the dimension quasi-polynomial of the finite set of all minimal points of A with respect to the product order on $\mathbb{N}^m$. The following theorem gives a formula for computation of the dimension quasi-polynomial of a finite set. It generalizes the corresponding result for dimension polynomials of finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}^m$, see [13, Proposition 2.3.1].

Theorem 3. *Let $A = \{a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(d)}\}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}^m$ and let $a^{(i)} = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im})$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. For any $l = 0, \dots, d$, let $\Gamma(l, d)$ denote the set of all l-element subsets of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ ($\Gamma(0, d) = \emptyset$) and for any set $\epsilon = \{a^{(i_1)}, \dots, a^{(i_p)}\} \in \Gamma(l, d)$ ($1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq d$), let $c_{\epsilon j} = \max\{a_{\nu j} \,|\, 1 \leq \nu \leq p\}$ (the maximal jth coordinate of elements of ϵ). Furthermore, let $c_\epsilon = (c_{\epsilon 1}, \dots, c_{\epsilon m})$. Then*

$$\chi^{(w)}_A(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{d} (-1)^l \sum_{\epsilon \in \Gamma(l,d)} \lambda^{(m)}_w(t - \operatorname{ord}_w c_\epsilon). \tag{1}$$

PROOF. Let $\epsilon \in \Gamma(l, d)$ and for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$C_\epsilon(s) = \{c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \,|\, c_\epsilon \leq_P c \text{ and } \operatorname{ord}_w c \leq s\}.$$

Taking into account that $\operatorname{Card} C_\epsilon(s) = \lambda^{(m)}_w(t - \operatorname{ord}_w c_\epsilon)$ for all $s \geq \operatorname{ord}_w c_\epsilon$, we can mimic the proof of Proposition 2.3.1 of [13] as follows.

Let us show that the sum in the right-hand side of (1) counts all points of $V^{(w)}_A(s)$ and every point of this set is counted exactly once. Indeed, let a point $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m(s)$ exceed exactly k points from the set A (k can take values from 0 to d). If $k = 0$, then $b \in V^{(w)}_A(s)$ and b is taken into account exactly once in the term $(-1)^0 \lambda^{(m)}_w(s)$ in (1).

Let $k > 0$. If we fix l $(0 \le l \le d)$, then the point b falls into exactly $\binom{k}{l}$ subsets of the form $C_\epsilon(s)$ with $\epsilon \in \Gamma(l,d)$ (we set $\binom{k}{l} = 0$ if $l > k$), so in the sum (1) the point b is taken into account $(-1)^l\binom{k}{l}$ times in the term $(-1)^l\lambda_w^{(m)}(t - \operatorname{ord}_w c_\epsilon)$. Therefore, the total number of times the point b is counted in the right-hand side of (1) is $\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^l\binom{k}{l} = (1-1)^k = 0$. Thus, for all sufficiently large $s \in \mathbb{N}$, both right-hand and left-hand sides of (1) give the number of points in the set $V_A^{(w)}(s)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The following example illustrates the above approach to the computation of a dimension polynomial in the case of a subset of $\mathbb{N}^2$.

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{((2,1),(0,3)\} \subset \mathbb{N}^2$ and let $w_1 = 2$, $w_2 = 1$. Then the set $V_\mathcal{A}$ consists of all integer points that lie in the shadowed region in the following figure, where $C(2, r-4)$, $D(\frac{r-3}{2}, 3)$, and $E(\frac{r-1}{2}, 1)$. (BF is a segment of the line $2x + y = r$.)



Fig. 1

Let us consider the following four triangles: $P_1 := \triangle BOF$, $P_2 := \triangle BAD$, $P_3 := \triangle CGE$, and $P_4 := \triangle CHD$. It is easy to see that P_1 is the rth dilate of the triangle



.

The direct computation shows that $L(P_1, 0) = 1$, $L(P_1, 1) = 2$, $L(P_1, 2) = 4$, and $L(P_1, 3) = 6$. As in Example 2, we obtain that

$$L(P_1, r) = \frac{1}{4}r^2 + r + \left[1, \frac{3}{4}\right]_r.$$

As it is seen from Fig. 1, the triangles P_2, P_3 and P_4 are similar to P_1. If N_i denotes the number of lattice points in P_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, then for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^{(w)}(r) = N_1 - N_2 - N_3 + N_4$. Therefore,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^{(w)}(r) = L(P_1, r) - L(P_1, r-3) - L(P_1, r-5) + L(P_1, r-7) = \frac{1}{2}r + \left[5, \frac{9}{2}\right]_r.$$

4 The main result

Let K be a difference field of zero characteristic with a basic set of translations $\sigma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$ that are assigned positive integer weights $w_1, \ldots, w_m$, respectively. As before, let T denote the free commutative semigroup generated by the set σ. For any transform $\tau = \alpha_1^{k_1} \ldots \alpha_m^{k_m} \in T$, the number

$$\operatorname{ord}_w \tau = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i k_i$$

will be called the *order* of τ; it will be denoted by $\operatorname{ord}_w \tau$.

Furthermore, for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$T_w(r) = \{\tau \in T \mid \operatorname{ord}_w \tau \leq r\}.$$

The following theorem establishes the existence of a dimension quasi-polynomial associated with a difference field extension with weighted basic translations.

Theorem 4. *With the above notation, let $L = K\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \rangle$ be a σ-field extension of K generated by a finite set $\eta = \{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_r = K(\cup_{i=1}^{n} T_w(r)\eta_i)$. Then there exists a quasi-polynomial $\Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)}(t)$ such that*

(i) $\Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)}(r) = \operatorname{tr.deg}_K L_r$ *for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(ii) $\deg \Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)} \leq m = \operatorname{Card} \sigma$.

(iii) $\Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)}$ *is an alternative sum of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials associated with rational conic polytopes.*

(iv) *The degree and leading coefficient of the quasi-polynomial $\Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)}$ are constants that do not depend on the set of difference generators η of the extension L/K. Furthermore, the coefficient of t^m in $\Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)}$ can be represented as $\dfrac{a}{m! w_1 \ldots w_m}$ where a is equal to the difference transcendence degree of L/K.*

In order to prove this theorem we need some results on reduction and autoreduced sets in the ring of difference polynomials. A detailed description of this technique, as well as proofs of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 below, can be found in [8, Section 3.3] and [10, Section 2.4].

Let K be a difference field with a basic set $\sigma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$, T the free commutative semigroup generated by σ, and $R = K\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ the algebra of

difference (σ-) polynomials over K. As before we set $TY = \{\tau y_i | \tau \in T, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$; elements of this sets will be called *terms*. The order of a term τy_i (with respect to the given weights) is defined as the order of τ: $\mathrm{ord}_w(\tau y_i) = \mathrm{ord}_w \tau$.

By a *ranking* of the set TY we mean a well-ordering $\leq$ of this set satisfying the following two conditions.

(i) $u \leq \tau u$ for any $u \in TY, \tau \in T$.

(ii) If $u, v \in TY$ and $u \leq v$, then $\tau u \leq \tau v$ for any $\tau \in T$.

In the rest of the paper we consider a ranking defined as follows:

If $\tau = \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m}$, $\tau' = \alpha_1^{l_1} \dots \alpha_m^{l_m} \in T$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\tau y_i < \tau' y_j$ if and only if

$$(\mathrm{ord}_w \tau, k_1, \dots, k_m, i) <_{lex} (\mathrm{ord}_w \tau', l_1, \dots, l_m, j).$$

If $A \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus K$, then the greatest (with respect to our ranking) element of TY that appears in A is called the *leader* of A; it is denoted by u_A. If A is written as a polynomial in u_A, $A = \sum_{i=0}^{d} I_i u_A^i$ ($d = deg_{u_A} A$ and the σ-polynomials $I_0, \dots, I_d$ do not contain u_A), then I_d is called the *initial* of the σ-polynomial A; it is denoted by I_A.

Let A, $B \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. We say that A has lower rank than B and write $A < B$, if either $A \in K, B \notin K$ or $u_A < u_B$ or $u_A = u_B = u$, $deg_u A < deg_u B$. If neither $A < B$ nor $B < A$, we say that A and B have the same rank and write $rk\, A = rk\, B$. Furthermore, we say that A is *reduced* with respect to B ($B \notin K$) if A does not contain any power of a transform τu_B ($\tau \in T$) whose exponent is greater than or equal to $deg_{u_B} B$. If S is any subset of $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus K$, then a σ-polynomial $A \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is said to be reduced with respect to S if A is reduced with respect to every element of S.

A set $\Sigma \subseteq K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is called an *autoreduced set* if either $\Sigma = \emptyset$ or $\Sigma \bigcap K = \emptyset$ and every element of Σ is reduced with respect to all other elements of Σ. As it is shown in[10, Section 2.4], every autoreduced set is finite and distinct elements of an autoreduced set have distinct leaders.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_p\}$ be an autoreduced set in $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. Let $I(\mathcal{A}) = \{B \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} |$ either $B = 1$ or B is a product of finitely many σ-polynomials of the form $\tau(I_{A_i})$ ($\tau \in T, i = 1, \dots, p$)$\}$. Then for any $C \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, there exist σ-polynomials $J \in I(\mathcal{A})$ and C_0 such that C_0 is reduced with respect to the set $\mathcal{A}$ and $JC \equiv C_0 (mod\, [\mathcal{A}])$, that is, $JC - C_0 \in [\mathcal{A}]$.*

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_p\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_q\}$ be two autoreduced sets whose elements are written in the order of increasing rank. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ has lower rank than $\mathcal{B}$ if one of the following conditions holds:

(i) *there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq k \leq \min\{p, q\}$, such that $rk\, A_i = rk\, B_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $A_k < B_k$;*

(ii) *$p > q$ and $rk\, A_i = rk\, B_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$.*

Proposition 2. *Every nonempty set of autoreduced sets contains an autoreduced set of lowest rank. (If J is a difference ideal of $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, an autoreduced subset of J of lowest rank is called a* **characteristic set** *of J.)*

Let Σ be a characteristic set of a σ-ideal J of $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. Then J does not contain nonzero difference polynomials reduced with respect to Σ. In particular, if $A \in \Sigma$, then $I_A \notin J$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Let P be the defining σ-ideal of the extension $L = K\langle \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \rangle$, that is $P = \mathrm{Ker}(K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \to L), \quad y_i \mapsto \eta_i$.

Let $\Sigma = \{A_1, \dots, A_d\}$ be a characteristic set of P, let u_i denote the leader of A_i $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ and for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$E_j = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m | \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m} y_j \text{ is a leader of a } \sigma\text{-polynomial in } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Let $V = \{u \in TY \,|\, u \text{ is not a transform of any } u_i \ (1 \leq i \leq s)$ and for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V(r) = \{u \in V \mid \mathrm{ord}_w\, u \leq r\}$. By Proposition 2, the ideal P does not contain non-zero difference polynomials reduced with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. It follows that for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $V_\eta(r) = \{v(\eta) \,|\, v \in V(r)\}$ is algebraically independent over K. Indeed, if there exists a nonzero polynomial $B \in K[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ $(k > 0)$ in k variables over K and elements $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V_\eta(r)$ such that $B(v_1(\eta), \dots, v_k(\eta)) = 0$. Then $B(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in P$ and this σ-polynomial is reduced with respect to $\mathcal{A}$, so we arrive at a contradiction.

If $A_i \in \Sigma$, then $A_i(\eta) = 0$, hence $u_i(\eta)$ is algebraic over the field $K(\{\tau\eta_j \,|\, \tau y_j < u_i \, (\tau \in T,\, 1 \leq j \leq n)\})$. Therefore, for any $\theta \in T$, θu_i is algebraic over the field $K(\{\tau\eta_j \,|\, \tau y_j < \theta u_i \, (\tau \in T,\, 1 \leq j \leq n)\})$. By induction on the well-ordered set TY (and using the fact that if $u, v \in TY$ and $u < v$, then $\mathrm{ord}_w \leq \mathrm{ord}_w\, v$), we obtain that for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the field $L_r = K(\{\tau\eta_j \,|\, \tau \in T(r),\, 1 \leq j \leq n\})$ is an algebraic extension of the field $K(\{v(\eta) \,|\, v \in V(r)\})$. It follows that $V_\eta(r)$ is a transcendence basis of L_r over K and $\mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, L_r = \mathrm{Card}\; V_\eta(r)$.

The number of terms $\alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m} y_j$ in $V(r)$ is equal to the number of m-tuples $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_w\, k \leq r$ and k does not exceed any m-tuple in E_j with respect to the product order on $\mathbb{N}^m$. By Theorem 3, this number is expressed by a quasi-polynomial of degree at most m.

Therefore, for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, L_r = \mathrm{Card}\; V_\eta(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{E_j}^{(w)}(r)$$

where $\chi_{E_j}^{(w)}(t)$ is the dimension quasi-polynomial of the set $E_j \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$. Since each $\chi_{E_j}^{(w)}(t)$ is an alternative sum of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials associated with conic polytopes (see formula (1) in Theorem 3), $\Phi_{\eta|K}^{(w)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{E_j}^{(w)}(t)$ has a similar representation and satisfies conditions (i) – (iii) of Theorem 4.

If $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k)$ is another system of σ-generators of the extension L/K, so that $L = K\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \rangle = K\langle \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k \rangle$, then there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \in K(\cup_{i=1}^{k} T_w(q)\zeta_i)$ and $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k \in K(\cup_{i=1}^{n} T_w(q)\eta_i)$. Therefore, for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (namely, for all $r \geq q$), one has

$$K(\cup_{i=1}^{n} T_w(r)\eta_i) \subseteq K(\cup_{i=1}^{k} T_w(r+q)\zeta_i) \text{ and } K(\cup_{i=1}^{k} T_w(r)\zeta_i) \subseteq K(\cup_{i=1}^{n} T_w(r+q)\eta_i),$$

that is, $\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(r) \leq \Phi^{(w)}_{\zeta|K}(r+q)$ and $\Phi^{(w)}_{\zeta|K}(r) \leq \Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(r+q)$. It follows that the quasi-polynomials $\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(t)$ and $\Phi^{(w)}_{\zeta|K}(t)$ have equal degrees and equals leading coefficients.

In order to prove the last statement of the theorem, note first that if the elements $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ are σ-algebraically independent over K, then

$$\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(t) = n \sum \lambda^{(m)}_w(t).$$

Indeed, if $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Xi_i(r) = \{\xi = \alpha_1^{k_1} \ldots \alpha_m^{k_m}\eta_i \,|\, k_i \in \mathbb{N},\ \mathrm{ord}_w\, \xi \leq r\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then $\cup_{i=1}^{n} \Xi_i(r)$ is a transcendence basis of the field extension $K(\cup_{i=1}^{n} T_w(r)\eta_i)/K$ and the number of elements of this basis is equal to $n\,\mathrm{Card}\{(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \mid \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j k_j \leq r\} = n \sum \lambda^{(m)}_w(r)$.

Let $L = K\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \rangle$ and $d = \mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, L$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d$ is a σ-transcendence basis of L/K. (We allow $d = 0$; in this case every element of L is σ-algebraic over K.) Then for any $j = d+1, \ldots, n$, the element η_j is σ-algebraic over the σ-field $F = K\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d \rangle$ (properties of σ-transcendence dependence and σ-transcendence bases, as it is shown in [10, Section 4.1], are similar to properties of usual transcendence dependence and transcendence bases of field extensions).

Let $B_j \in F\{y_j\}$ be a nonzero σ-polynomial of the smallest total degree such that $B_j(\eta_j) = 0$. If $u_j = \tau_j y_j$ is the leader of B_j $(1 \leq k_j \leq n)$ and the σ-polynomial B_j is written as a polynomial in u_j, $B_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{ij} u_j^i$, then

$$B_j(\eta_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{ij}(\eta_j) u_j^i(\eta_j) = 0. \tag{2}$$

By the choice of B_j, $I_{kj}(\eta_j) \neq 0$, so that $u_j(\eta_j)$ is algebraic over the field $F(\{\tau\eta_j \,|\, \tau \in T \text{ and } \tau y_j < u_j\})$. (Here $<$ denotes the considered total order on the set of terms TY). Therefore, we can fix $p_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u(\eta_j)$ is algebraic over the field $K(\{\theta\eta_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \theta \in T_w(p_j)\} \cup \{\tau\eta_j \,|\, \tau \in T \text{ and } \tau y_j < u_j\})$.

It follows from equality (2) that for any $\tau \in T$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\tau I_{ij}(\eta_j))(\tau u_j(\eta_j))^i = 0$, so that $\tau u_j(\eta_j)$ is algebraic over the field $K(\{\theta\eta_i \,|\, \theta \in T_w(p_j + \mathrm{ord}_w\, \tau),\ 1 \leq i \leq d\} \cup \{\theta'\eta_j \,|\, \theta' \in T,\ \theta' y_j < \tau u_j\}$. By induction on the well-ordered set TY we obtain that $\tau u_j(\eta_j)$ is algebraic over the field $K(\{\theta\eta_i \,|\, \theta \in T_w(p_j + \mathrm{ord}_w\, \tau),\ 1 \leq i \leq d\} \cup \{\theta'\eta_j \,|\, \theta' \in T, \theta' y_j < \tau u_j \text{ and } \tau_j \nmid \theta'\})$.

Let $p = \max\{p_{d+1}, \ldots, p_n\}$ and $s \geq \max\{\mathrm{ord}_w\, u_j \,|\, d+1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Then every element of the field

$$L_s = K(\{\tau\eta_i \,|\, \tau \in T_w(s)\eta_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\})$$

is algebraic over the field

$M_s = K(\{\tau\eta_i \,|\, \tau \in T_w(s+p), 1 \leq i \leq d\} \cup \{\theta\eta_l \,|\, \theta \in [T_w(s) - T_w(s-r_l)],$
$d+1 \leq l \leq n\})$ where $r_l = \mathrm{ord}_w\, u_l$ $(d+1 \leq l \leq n)$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, L_s \leq \mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, L_s M_s = \mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, M_s + \mathrm{tr.deg}_{M_s}\, L_s M_s = \mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, M_s.$$

Since $\mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, L_s = \Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(s)$ and

$$\mathrm{tr.deg}_K\, M_s \leq d\,\mathrm{Card}\; T_w(s+p) + \sum_{l=d+1}^{n} \mathrm{Card}\,[T_w(s) - T_w(s-r_l)],$$

we have

$$\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(s) \leq d\lambda^{(m)}_w(s+p) + \sum_{l=d+1}^{n} [\lambda^{(m)}_w(s) - \lambda^{(m)}_w(s-r_l)].$$

Since $\deg(\lambda^{(m)}_w(t) - \lambda^{(m)}_w(t-r_j)) < m$, we obtain that the coefficient of t^m in $\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(t)$ does not exceed $\dfrac{d}{m!w_1 \ldots w_m}$. On the other hand, the set $\{\tau\eta_i \,|\, \tau \in T_w(s),\, 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ is σ-algebraically independent over K, hence $\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(s) \geq d\,\mathrm{Card}\; T_w(s) = d\lambda^{(m)}_w(s)$, so the coefficient of t^m in $\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(t)$ is greater than or equal to $\dfrac{d}{m!w_1 \ldots w_m}$. Thus, if the coefficient of t^m in $\Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}$ is represented as $\dfrac{a}{m!w_1 \ldots w_m}$, then $a = d = \sigma\text{-tr.deg}_K\, L$. $\square$

Theorem 4 allows one to assign a quasi-polynomial to a system of algebraic difference equations with weighted basic translations

$$f_i(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, p) \tag{3}$$

$(f_i, \in R = K\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p)$ such that the difference ideal P of R generated by the σ-polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ is prime (e. g. to a system of linear difference equations). Systems of this form arise, in particular, as finite difference approximations of systems of PDEs with weighted derivatives (see, for example, [14] and [15]).

In this case, one can consider the quotient field $L = \mathrm{qf}(R/P)$ as a finitely generated σ-field extension of K: $L = K\langle\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\rangle$ where η_i is the canonical image of y_i in R/P. The corresponding dimension quasi-polynomial $\Phi^{(w)}(t) = \Phi^{(w)}_{\eta|K}(t)$ is said to be the *difference dimension quasi-polynomial* of system (3). It can be viewed as the Einstein's strength of the system of partial difference equations with weighted translations (see [10, Section 7.7] for a detail description of this concept).

Example 3. Let K be a difference field with basic set of translations $\sigma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ where α_1 and α_2 are assigned weights 2 and 1, respectively. Consider

any system of linear algebraic difference equations in one difference indeterminate y over K that consist of two equations

$$f_i(y) = 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2) \tag{4}$$

such that the leaders of the σ-polynomials f_1 and f_2 are $\alpha_1^2\alpha_2 y$ and $\alpha_2^3 y$, respectively. Since $[f_1, f_2]$ is a linear difference ideal of $K\{y\}$, it is prime (see [10, Proposition 2.4.9]) and $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is its characteristic set. Using the result of Example 2, we obtain the following expression for the difference dimension quasi-polynomial of system (4):

$$\Phi^{(w)}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t + \left[5, \frac{9}{2}\right]_t.$$

References

[1] A. I. Barvinok. Computing the Ehrhart polynomial of a convex lattice polytope. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 12 (1994), 35–48.

[2] A. I. Barvinok and J. E. Pommersheim, An algorithmic theory of lattice points in polyhedra. *New Perspectives in Algebraic Combinatorics*. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 38. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999, 91–147.

[3] A. I. Barvinok. Computing the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial of a rational simplex. *Math. Comp.*, 75 (2006), no. 255, 1449–1466.

[4] Cohn, R. M., *Difference Algebra*. Interscience, New York, 1965.

[5] C. Dönch. *Standard Bases in Finitely Generated Difference-Skew-Differential Modules and Their Application to Dimension Polynomials*, Ph. D. Thesis. Johannes Kepler University Linz, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), 2012.

[6] E. Ehrhart. Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 254 (1962), 616–618.

[7] E. R. Kolchin. *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups*. Academic Press, 1973.

[8] M. V. Kondrateva, A. B. Levin, A. V. Mikhalev and E. V. Pankratev. *Differential and Difference Dimension Polynomials*. Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1999.

[9] Levin, A. B. Characteristic polynomials of filtered difference modules and of difference field extensions. *Russian Math. Surv.*, 33 (1978), no.3, 165–166.

[10] Levin, A. B. *Difference Algebra*. Springer, New York, 2008.

[11] Levin, A. B. Dimension Polynomials of Difference Local Algebras. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 72 (2016), 166–174.

[12] Levin, A. B.; Mikhalev, A. V. Type and Dimension of Finitely Generated G-algebras. *Contemp. Math.*, 184 (1995), 275–280.

[13] Mikhalev, A. V.; Pankratev, E. V. Differential dimension polynomial of a system of differential equations. *Algebra* (collection of papers). Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1980, 57-67. (In Russian)

[14] Shananin, N. A. On the unique continuation of solutions of differential equations with weighted derivatives, *Sb. Math.*, 191 (2000), no. 3–4, 431458.

[15] Shananin, N. A. On the partial quasianalyticity of distribution solutions of weakly nonlinear differential equations with weights assigned to derivatives. *Math. Notes*, 68 (2000), no.3–4, 519–527.